

To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: RE: Your Materials

I think they are panicking because they are preparing to travel tomorrow and may not have time to submit a revised version. They also are resisting changes to their interview summaries because the summaries represent what they think they heard. I was there at the interview and I heard what you said. I'm not sure that either of them heard everything (including the nuances) because so much of the information was new to them and it was one of their earlier interviews. I'm sorry I did not catch the defects before the summary went out.

My first concern is ensuring that the Working Group has the correct information. Then, we can deal with what version, *if any*, goes in the final report. Do you want me to excerpt the corrections from your email and submit them to the Working Group? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 01:41 PM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
Subject: RE: Your Materials

Sure. But where is the resistance coming from? The notes were not accurate. As you know, I have to be very concerned about that.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 12:34 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: RE: Your Materials

004161

Craig:

I am getting some resistance from my consultants to correcting the summary of the interview prior to the meeting. Would you mind noting the corrections at the meeting? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 12:06 PM

Topsims@eac.gov

cc

SubjectRE: Your Materials

Thank you, Peg. This stuff is very interesting.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 11:27 AM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: Re: Your Materials

I have forwarded your message to our consultants and have requested a corrected version for distribution

004162

at the WG meeting. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Your Materials

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in

004163

New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 03:51 PM

To Paul DeGregorio, Ray Martinez, Donetta Davidson, Gracia Hillman

cc twilkey@eac.gov, jthompson@eac.gov, Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC, ecortes@eac.gov, Amie J. Sherrill/EAC/GOV@EAC, Adam Ambrogio/EAC/GOV@EAC, Elieen L. Colver/EAC/GOV@EAC, Sheila A. Banks/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project Briefing

Dear Commissioners:

Attached is our consultants' analysis of the literature reviewed for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation preliminary research project. It was not included in the information packets delivered to you on Friday, May 12, because we did not receive it until today. I thought you might be interested in having it. prior to tomorrow's briefing.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist



Literature-Report Review Summary.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/16/2006 11:03 AM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials

I think he can just raise these points at the meeting, no? I'm sure many we interviewed would say we misquoted them on something. This is what both Job and I remember him saying. I think it would be unfair for him to change/amend his interview without giving the same opportunity to the other interviewees.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 9:59 AM

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Subject: Fw: Your Materials

See corrections from Donsanto at DOJ. We should probably provide corrected versions to the Working Group. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/16/2006 10:58 AM -----

004164

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Your Materials

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>
>

05/19/2006 03:17 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "Simmons, Nancy" <Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Peggy --

I was just thinking of you!

Great session yesterday. I really enjoyed it. Robust discussion.

On another subject, Nancy Simmons needs the e-mail address of NASED. Can you give her both that and the website address for them? Her e-mail is nancy.simmons@usdoj.gov.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

004165

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Fri May 19 14:51:21 2006

Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Craig;

You asked about the Nexis search terms used by our consultants. The list follows. --- Peggy.

Election and fraud
Voter and fraud
Vote and fraud
Voter and challenge
Vote and challenge
Election and challenge
Election and irregularity
Election and irregularities
Election and violation
Election and stealing
Ballot box and tampering
Ballot box and theft
Ballot box and stealing
Election and officers
Election and Sheriff
Miscount and votes
Election and crime
Election and criminal
Vote and crime
Vote and criminal
Double voting
Multiple voting
Dead and voting
Election and counting and violation
Election and counting and error
Vote and counting and violation
Vote and counting and error
Voter and intimidation
Voter and intimidating
Vote and intimidation
Denial and voter and registration
Voter identification
Vote and identification
Voter and racial profiling
Vote and racial profiling
Voter and racial
Vote and racial
Voter and racial and challenge
Vote and racial and challenge
Voter and deny and racial
Vote and deny and racial
Voter and deny and challenge
Vote and deny and challenge
Voter and deny and black
Vote and deny and black
Voter and black and challenge
Vote and black and challenge

004168

Voter and deny and African American
Vote and deny and African American
Voter and African American and challenge
Vote and African American and challenge
Election and black and challenge
Election and African American and challenge
Voter and deny and Hispanic
Voter and deny and Latino
Vote and deny and Hispanic
Vote and deny and Latino
Voter and Hispanic and challenge
Voter and Latino and challenge
Vote and Hispanic and challenge
Vote and Latino and challenge
Election and Hispanic and challenge
Election and Latino and challenge
Voter and deny and Native American
Vote and deny and Native American
Voter and Native American and challenge
Vote and Native American and challenge
Election and Native American and challenge
Voter and deny and Asian American
Vote and deny and Asian American
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Vote and Asian American and challenge
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Election and Asian American and challenge
Voter and deny and Indian
Vote and deny and Indian
Voter and Indian and challenge
Vote and Indian and challenge
Election and Indian and challenge
Poll tax
Voting and test
Absentee ballot and deny
Absentee ballot and reject
Absentee ballot and challenge
Vote and challenge
Voter and challenge
Election and challenge
Vote and police
Voter and police
Poll and police
Vote and law enforcement
Voter and law enforcement
Poll and law enforcement
Vote and deceptive practices
Voter and deceptive practices
Election and deceptive practices
Voter and deceive
Voter and false information
Dirty tricks
Vote and felon
Vote and ex-felon
Disenfranchisement
Disenfranchise
Law and election and manipulation
Vote and purging
Vote and purge
Registration and removal
Registration and purging

004167

Registration and purge
Vote buying
Vote and noncitizen
Voter and noncitizen
Vote and selective enforcement
Identification and selective
Election and misinformation
Registration and restrictions
Election and administrator and fraud
Election and official and fraud
Provisional ballot and deny
Provisional ballot and denial
Affidavit ballot and deny
Affidavit ballot and denial
Absentee ballot and coerce
Absentee ballot and coercion
Registration and destruction
Voter and deter
Vote and deterrence
Voter and deterrence
Ballot integrity
Ballot security
Ballot security and minority
Ballot security and black
Ballot security and African American
Ballot security and Latino
Ballot security and Hispanic
Ballot security and Native American
Ballot security and Indian
Vote and suppression
Minority and vote and suppression
Black and vote and suppression
African American and vote and suppression
Latino and vote and suppression
Hispanic and vote and suppression
Native American and vote and suppression
Vote and suppress
Minority and vote and suppress
African American and vote and suppress
Latino and vote and suppress
Native American and vote and suppress
Vote and depress
Jim Crow
Literacy test
Voter and harass
Voter and harassment
Vote and mail and fraud
Poll and guards
Election and consent decree
Vote and barrier
Voting and barrier
Voter and barrier
Election and long line
Voter and long line

Poll worker and challenge
Poll worker and intimidate
Poll worker and intimidation
Poll worker and intimidating
Poll worker and threatening
Poll worker and abusive

004168

Election official and challenge
Election official and intimidate
Election official and intimidation
Election official and intimidating
Election official and threatening
Election official and abusive
Poll watcher and challenge
Poll watcher and intimidate
Poll watcher and intimidating
Poll watcher and intimidation
Poll watcher and abusive
Poll watcher and threatening
Poll inspector and challenge
Poll inspector and intimidate
Poll inspector and intimidating
Poll inspector and intimidation
Poll inspector and abusive
Poll inspector and threatening
Poll judge and challenge
Poll judge and intimidate
Poll judge and intimidating
Poll judge and intimidation
Poll judge and abusive
Poll judge and threatening
Poll monitor and challenge
Poll monitor and intimidate
Poll monitor and intimidating
Poll monitor and intimidation
Poll monitor and abusive
Poll monitor and threatening
Election judge and challenge
Election judge and intimidate
Election judge and intimidating
Election judge and intimidation
Election judge and abusive
Election judge and threatening
Election monitor and challenge
Election monitor and intimidate
Election monitor and intimidating
Election monitor and intimidation
Election monitor and abusive
Election monitor and threatening
Election observer and challenge
Election observer and intimidate
Election observer and intimidating
Election observer and intimidation
Election observer and abusive
Election observer and threatening

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/15/2006 05:05 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject RE: Fraud Definition

004169

Sounds good. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 4:03 PM
To: wang@tcf.org
Subject: Re: Fraud Definition

Tova:

We can certainly discuss this at the Working Group meeting. (The draft definition had already been sent out by the time I read your message.) There may be other VRA provisions that should be considered as well, such as the prohibition on removing the names of certain registrants, who were registered by federal examiners, without obtaining prior approval of the Justice Department.

After I received your email, I asked Barry Weinberg to review the draft definition and consider if we have left off examples of Voting Rights Act violations that would qualify as election fraud. Barry, during his 25 years with DOJ, led aggressive action against attempts to place police at the polls to intimidate voters, challenges targeting minorities, failure to provide election materials and assistance in languages other than English (in covered jurisdictions), etc. His input should prove helpful. --- Peggy

wang@tcf.org

05/12/2006 09:48
PM

psims@eac.gov

To

cc

Subject

Re: Fraud Definition

How about specifying Section 2 and 203 of the VRA?

----- Original Message -----

From: psims@eac.gov
To: wang@tcf.org
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2006 1:34 PM
Subject: RE: Fraud Definition

Lets raise this issue at the meeting. (I'll add "DRAFT" to the current document.) My concern is that there are a number of requirements in the Voting Rights Act. Not all of them are considered election fraud, when violated. For example, failure to preclear changes in election procedures

004170

is not treated as election fraud, though it is actionable. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

05/12/2006 12:45 PM

psims@eac.gov,
[REDACTED]

To

cc

RE: Fraud Definition

Subject

Upon first reading, my only comment would be that I would like to restore "failing to follow the requirements of the Voting Rights Act" -----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2006 9:20 AM

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Subject: Fraud Definition

Would you please take a look at the attached? I combined both of your definitions, reformatted the list, removed a reference to the fraud having to have an actual impact on the election results (because fraud can be prosecuted without proving that it actually changed the results of the election), and taken out a couple of vague examples (e.g.; reference to failing to enforce state laws --- because there may be legitimate reasons for not doing so).

I have made contact with Ben Ginsberg's office and am waiting to hear if he accepts our invitation to join the working group. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/17/2006 09:56 AM

To Craig Donsanto

cc

Subject Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Research

004171

Craig:

I'm putting the finishing touches on a status report to the EAC Standards Board and EAC Board of Advisors on our Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. For the most part, I am using our consultants summaries for the report, but one bullet under the interview summaries is giving me heartburn. It is the bullet that references the decrease in DOJ voter intimidation actions. It is one of the places in which our consultants had indicated that your office is focussing on prosecuting individuals. I have reworded it and would like your feedback on the revision:

Several people indicate - including representatives from DOJ -- that for various reasons, the Department of Justice is bringing fewer voter intimidation and suppression cases now, and has increased its focus on matters such as noncitizen voting, double voting, and felon voting. While the Voting Section of the Civil Rights Division focuses on systemic patterns of malfeasance, the Election Crimes Branch of the Public Integrity Section has increased prosecutions of individual instances of felon, alien, and double voting while also maintaining an aggressive pursuit of systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process.

Please suggest any changes that you think would further clarify the current approach. --- Peggy
----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 01:09 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: Thursday

No problem. I've got the conference room reserved from Noon to 6 PM, so you can come earlier. ---
Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/15/2006 11:36 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject thursday

Is it OK if I come around 12:30 or so to make sure I have all my materials arranged properly for presentation? Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang

Democracy Fellow

The Century Foundation

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

004172

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/01/2006 03:04 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject RE: Travel Reimbursement

I did not realize that I had to itemize the per diem, so yes, that was an oversight. There was a \$5 service charge. I will forward you the documentation on that. Thanks so much. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2006 1:50 PM
To: wang@tcf.org
Subject: Travel Reimbursement

Tova:

In reviewing your travel reimbursement request that arrived in my In box this week, I noticed that you did not include per diem in your request for payment. Was that an oversight? I calculate that you would be eligible for a total of \$160 in per diem for the trip (\$48 for Wednesday 5/17, \$64 for Thursday 5/18, and \$48 for Friday 5/19). Also, the airfare receipt shows a total charge of \$288.60, but the amount you requested for airfare was \$293.60. Perhaps there was a service fee that does not show on the receipt. Can you clarify? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Craig C. Donsanto"
[REDACTED]
05/30/2006 11:02 PM

To "peggy sims" <psims@eac.gov>
cc

Subject Fwd: Re: Article to your secondary e-mail address

--- "Craig C. Donsanto" <cdonsanto@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Date: Tue, 30 May 2006 19:57:36 -0700 (PDT)
> From: "Craig C. Donsanto" [REDACTED]
> Subject: Re: Article to your secondary e-mail
> address
> To: "Elliott, Michael (LA) (IC)"
> <Michael.Elliott@ic.fbi.gov>
>
> Mike - -
>
> As we say back where I come from: this article is
> "wicked pissah"!
>
> The woman mentioned in this piece towards the end
> has

004173

Hi Peg,

How are you? I was wondering, whatever happened to getting the collective notes of the EAC staff? Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/01/2006 02:50 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang

cc

Subject Travel Reimbursement

Tova:

In reviewing your travel reimbursement request that arrived in my In box this week, I noticed that you did not include per diem in your request for payment. Was that an oversight? I calculate that you would be eligible for a total of \$160 in per diem for the trip (\$48 for Wednesday 5/17, \$64 for Thursday 5/18, and \$48 for Friday 5/19). Also, the airfare receipt shows a total charge of \$288.60, but the amount you requested for airfare was \$293.60. Perhaps there was a service fee that does not show on the receipt. Can you clarify? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/31/2006 01:30 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Working Group Notes [REDACTED]

Sorry. We have had so much going on, I did not have time to send the attached to you last week. This is Devon's compilation of notes taken by EAC staff at the working group meeting. --- Peggy



VFVI Meeting Summary.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/31/2006 11:26 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

004175



Subject notes

Hi Peg,

How are you? I was wondering, whatever happened to getting the collective notes of the EAC staff?
Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/02/2006 04:50 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject transcript

Hi Peg,

Do you have an ETA for the transcript? Seems like it should be around now. Thanks and have a great weekend. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



wang@tcf.org
06/08/2006 09:15 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Subject

004178



Hi, Whats going on? I have not received responses from either one of you in a week. I'd like to wrap this up in the next two weeks if we can. Did you get my recommendations? Thanks.

Tova

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/08/2006 09:35 AM

To wang@tcf.org@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: [REDACTED]

Sorry. We have been swamped with other program activities and preparations for today's testimony before House Admin. We have not yet received the transcript of the Working Group session. Devon checked with the court reporter, who said it will be delivered today. --- Peggy

wang@tcf.org



wang@tcf.org

06/08/2006 09:15 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Subject

Hi, Whats going on? I have not received responses from either one of you in a week. I'd like to wrap this up in the next two weeks if we can. Did you get my recommendations? Thanks.

Tova

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----


Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

06/07/2006 10:08 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc jwilson@eac.gov

Subject Re: Transcript of 5-18-06 Working Group Meeting [REDACTED]



Tim at Carol reporting said the transcript will be here today or tomorrow.

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005

004177

202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/07/2006 09:47 AM

To dromig@eac.gov, jwilson@eac.gov

cc

Subject Transcript of 5-18-06 Working Group Meeting

Have we had any word about the transcript for the 5-18-06 Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group meeting? Our consultants each need a copy so that they can draft the final report? If we have it in electronic form, so much the better. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/09/2006 08:53 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject FW: Transcript & Teleconference

Hi Peg,

How do you recommend dealing with this? I have this feeling like he's trying to create a situation where I will have to write it myself. Thanks. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto:serebrov@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:42 PM

To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: Transcript & Teleconference

Peggy:

I can't predict when I get home but it is between 5:30 and 6:30 my time. I know that is generally too late to have a teleconference.

I plan to review Tova's recommendations this weekend and work on my own as well as expanding the explanation of the case section.

Please see what your financial officer did with regards to my travel.

004178

Thank you,

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> What time do you arrive home from work? Perhaps we
> could talk then?

>

> Re your question on the mileage, I have approached
> our Financial Officer
> with a request that you receive full reimbursement
> on the grounds that
> your actual total travel costs are less than the
> estimated total travel
> costs if you had flown to DC, stayed in our more
> expensive hotels, and
> received the higher per diem for 3 days (instead of
> 1). I have not yet
> received a response from her and she has been out of
> the office much of
> this week, so I don't know what she decided to do.

> --- Peggy

>

>

>

>

> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> 06/08/2006 01:10 PM

>

> To
> psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
> cc

> [REDACTED]

> Subject
> Re: Transcript & Teleconference

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Peg:

>

> I just arrived home for lunch. I can no longer take
> time during the work day for telephone conferences.

> As

> I told you I will need to finish this project after
> daily working hours. I am still getting things done
> from being out for ten days. I will review Tova's recommendations and
> expand on mine this weekend.

>

> Also, I sent you an e-mail asking how you handled
> the
> mileage portion of my travel voucher?

>

> Job

>

>

>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:


004179

>
> > 4 PM EST is fine with me, if it works for Job.
> ---
> > Peggy
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > wang@tcf.org
> > 06/08/2006 10:10 AM
> >
> > To
> > psims@eac.gov
> > cc
> > serebrov@sbcglobal.net
> > Subject
> > Re: Transcript & Teleconference
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Can we make it 4 est? I have another meeting at
> 3.
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: <psims@eac.gov>
> > To: <wang@tcf.org>
> > Cc: [REDACTED]
> > Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:55 AM
> > Subject: Re: Transcript & Teleconference
> >
> >
> > >
> > > I'll see how it comes in. I hope we receive an
> > electronic copy. If we
> > > only receive a hard copy, we can pdf it and
> email
> > it to the two of you.
> > > How about Monday afternoon at 3 PM EST for a
> brief
> > teleconference? I
> > > really can't do it before them because of other
> > commitments. --- Peggy
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > wang@tcf.org
> > >
> > > 06/08/2006 09:42 To
> > > AM
> > psims@eac.gov
> > > cc
> > >
> > > [REDACTED]
> > > Subject
> > >
> > > Re: Re:

004180

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > How will you be getting it to us? Will it be
> > something you can email?
> > > And
> > >
> > > can we set up a call for some time in the next
> few
> > days? Thanks.
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: <psims@eac.gov>
> > > To: <wang@tcf.org>
> > > Cc: [REDACTED]
> > > Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:35 AM
> > > Subject: Re:
> > >
> > >
> > >> Sorry. We have been swamped with other program
> > activities and
> > >> preparations
> > >> for today's testimony before House Admin. We
> > have not yet received the
> > >> transcript of the Working Group session. Devon
> > checked with the court
> > >> reporter, who said it will be delivered today.
> > --- Peggy
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> wang@tcf.org
> > >>
> > >> 06/08/2006 09:15 To
> > >> AM
> > psims@eac.gov
> > >> cc
> > >>
> > >> "Job
> > Serebrov"
> > >>
> > [REDACTED]
> > >> Subject
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Hi, Whats going on? I have not received

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



CC

-----Original Message-----

004182

Hi again,

I just got the bill from our car service from the trip last month. Can I still send it to you? Do I need a cover note? Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/09/2006 12:49 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
cc
Subject more gao

Sorry, its 500 pages -- it also includes data on absentee fraud and voter intimidation

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
06/12/2006 05:09 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL
cc
Subject RE: Will Call Later [REDACTED]

004183

How about 9:30 AM EST, Wednesday morning (6/14/06)?

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/12/2006 04:46 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Will Call Later

Either between 9 and 10 or between 12 and 1:30 would be ideal, but I should be around most of the afternoon. Thanks Peg. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]


Sent: Monday, June 12, 2006 2:39 PM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: Will Call Later

I'll try to call you Wednesday. Is there a time that is best for you? Today has been too hectic. Tomorrow is primary election day in VA. Still no transcript. I have taken a look at the recommendations that you sent me, but have not yet heard from Job. --- Peg

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

06/07/2006 10:01 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc jwilson@eac.gov

Subject Re: Transcript of 5-18-06 Working Group Meeting

I will call the transcript company and ask them about it.

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/07/2006 09:47 AM

To dromig@eac.gov, jwilson@eac.gov

cc

Subject Transcript of 5-18-06 Working Group Meeting

004184



recommendations

Here are my recommendations with the last one now included. Please let me know about the transcript and when you all want to talk about getting the final report done. Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



future suggestions.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/13/2006 09:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

cc

Subject Transcripts, Etc.

Peggy:

Any sign of the transcript? Will the other members of the working group get a copy? I have had questions from several about it.

If you want to talk I can do so this Friday at 6 pm your time.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/08/2006 11:07 AM

To wang@tcf.org@GSAEXTERNAL

cc serebrov@sbcglobal.net

Subject Re: Transcript & Teleconference

4 PM EST is fine with me, if it works for Job. --- Peggy

wang@tcf.org

004185



wang@tcf.org

06/08/2006 10:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Transcript & Teleconference

Can we make it 4 est? I have another meeting at 3.

----- Original Message -----

From: <psims@eac.gov>

To: <wang@tcf.org>

Cc: <serebrov@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:55 AM

Subject: Re: Transcript & Teleconference

>
> I'll see how it comes in. I hope we receive an electronic copy. If we
> only receive a hard copy, we can pdf it and email it to the two of you.
> How about Monday afternoon at 3 PM EST for a brief teleconference? I
> really can't do it before then because of other commitments. --- Peggy

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

wang@tcf.org

>

06/08/2006 09:42

>

AM

psims@eac.gov

To

>

cc

>

Subject

>

Re: Re:

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> How will you be getting it to us? Will it be something you can email?

>

>

> can we set up a call for some time in the next few days? Thanks.

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: <psims@eac.gov>

> To: <wang@tcf.org>

> Cc: [REDACTED]

> Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:35 AM

> Subject: Re:

>

004186

To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
Subject: Transcripts, Etc.

Peggy:

Any sign of the transcript? Will the other members of the working group get a copy? I have had questions from several about it.

If you want to talk I can do so this Friday at 6 pm your time.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/09/2006 12:09 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>
cc
Subject gao report

This has information on many of our topics, but they also surveyed jurisdictions on voter reg fraud coming up with a rate of 5%

Elections: The Nation's Evolving Election System as Reflected in the November 2004 General Election. GAO-06-450, June 6.

<http://www.gao.gov/cgi-bin/getrpt?GAO-06-450>

Highlights - <http://www.gao.gov/highlights/d06450high.pdf>

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



Joyce Wilson/EAC/GOV
06/07/2006 09:58 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Re: Transcript of 5-18-06 Working Group Meeting

004188

Not that I know of. Would it have gone to Bryan possibly? Our public meeting transcripts go to him.

Joyce H. Wilson
Staff Assistant
US Election Assistance Commission
202-566-3100 (office)
202-566-3128 (fax)

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/09/2006 04:50 PM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc

Subject Re: Travel & Transcripts

[REDACTED]@GSAEXTERNAL

Our Financial Officer accepted my arguments. You should receive a travel reimbursement totalling \$1,200.03. GSA will reimburse through electronic funds transfer. I don't usually receive notification when our consultants are reimbursed.

I still have no transcripts. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov"



"Job Serebrov"

06/08/2006 10:42 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

cc

Subject Re: Transcript & Teleconference

Peggy:

I can't predict when I get home but it is between 5:30 and 6:30 my time. I know that is generally too late to have a teleconference.

I plan to review Tova's recommendations this weekend and work on my own as well as expanding the explanation of the case section.

Please see what your financial officer did with regards to my travel.

Thank you,

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> What time do you arrive home from work? Perhaps we

004189

> could talk then?
>
> Re your question on the mileage, I have approached
> our Financial Officer
> with a request that you receive full reimbursement
> on the grounds that
> your actual total travel costs are less than the
> estimated total travel
> costs if you had flown to DC, stayed in our more
> expensive hotels, and
> received the higher per diem for 3 days (instead of
> 1). I have not yet
> received a response from her and she has been out of
> the office much of
> this week, so I don't know what she decided to do.
> --- Peggy
>
>
>
>
> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> 06/08/2006 01:10 PM
>
> To
> psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
> cc
> [REDACTED]
> Subject
> Re: Transcript & Teleconference
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Peg:
>
> I just arrived home for lunch. I can no longer take
> time during the work day for telephone conferences.
> As
> I told you I will need to finish this project after
> daily working hours. I am still getting things done
> from being out for ten days. I will review Tova's
> recommendations and expand on mine this weekend.
>
> Also, I sent you an e-mail asking how you handled
> the
> mileage portion of my travel voucher?
>
> Job
>
>
>
> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:
>
> > 4 PM EST is fine with me, if it works for Job.
> ---
> > Peggy
> >
> >
> >

004190

```
> >  
> > wang@tcf.org  
> > 06/08/2006 10:10 AM  
> >  
> > To  
> > psims@eac.gov  
> > cc  
> > [REDACTED]  
> > Subject  
> > Re: Transcript & Teleconference  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > Can we make it 4 est? I have another meeting at  
> 3.  
> > ----- Original Message -----  
> > From: <psims@eac.gov>  
> > To: <wang@tcf.org>  
> > Cc: [REDACTED]  
> > Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:55 AM  
> > Subject: Re: Transcript & Teleconference  
> >  
> >  
> > >  
> > > I'll see how it comes in. I hope we receive an  
> > electronic copy. If we  
> > > only receive a hard copy, we can pdf it and  
> email  
> > it to the two of you.  
> > > How about Monday afternoon at 3 PM EST for a  
> brief  
> > teleconference? I  
> > > really can't do it before them because of other  
> > commitments. --- Peggy  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > wang@tcf.org  
> >  
> > 06/08/2006 09:42 To  
> > AM  
> > psims@eac.gov  
> > cc  
> > [REDACTED]  
> > Subject  
  
Re: Re:
```

004191

> > >
> > >
> > > How will you be getting it to us? Will it be
> > something you can email?
> > > And
> > >
> > > can we set up a call for some time in the next
> few
> > days? Thanks.
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: <psims@eac.gov>
> > > To: <wang@tcf.org>
> > > Cc: [REDACTED]
> > > Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:35 AM
> > > Subject: Re:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >> Sorry. We have been swamped with other program
> > activities and
> > >> preparations
> > >> for today's testimony before House Admin. We
> > have not yet received the
> > >> transcript of the Working Group session. Devon
> > checked with the court
> > >> reporter, who said it will be delivered today.
> > --- Peggy
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> wang@tcf.org
> > >>
> > >> 06/08/2006 09:15 To
> > >> AM
> > psims@eac.gov
> > >> cc
> > >>
> > Serebrov" "Job
> > >>
> > >> [REDACTED]
> > >> Subject
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Hi, Whats going on? I have not received
> > responses from either one of
> > > you
> > >> in a week. I'd like to wrap this up in the next
> > two weeks if we can.
> > Did
> > >> you get my recommendations? Thanks.
> > >>

004192

> > >> Tova
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



wang@tcf.org

06/14/2006 10:46 PM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED], psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject Re: teleconference

Could you do Friday in the morning?

----- Original Message -----

From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

To: <wang@tcf.org>; <psims@eac.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 10:17 PM

Subject: Re: teleconference

> Tova:

>

> 5 pm EST is 4 pm Central. Peg would have to call at 7

> pm EST to be 6 pm Central.

>

> Job

>

> --- wang@tcf.org wrote:

>

>> Let's try to do that. Peg, you will call us 5 pm

>> EST?

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

>> To: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

>> Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 6:29 PM

>> Subject: Re: teleconference

>>

>>

>> > Wednesday next week? It would have to be 6 pm.

>> >

>> > --- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

>> >

>> >> Hi Job,

>> >>

>> >> Peg tells me that we should now be getting the

004195

>> >> transcript early next week.
>> >> Regardless, we should talk about the organization
>> >> and distribution of work
>> >> on the final report and try to finally get it
>> done.
>> >> Would it be possible
>> >> for you to do a call before you leave for work in
>> >> the morning, say 8 am your
>> >> time, on Wednesday? If not, could you do 6 pm
>> your
>> >> time on Wednesday?
>> >> Thanks.
>> >>
>> >> Tova
>> >>
>> >> Tova Andrea Wang
>> >> Democracy Fellow
>> >> The Century Foundation
>> >> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
>> >> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>> >>
>> >> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
>> >> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
>> >> analysis, opinions, and events.
>> >>
>> >> _____
>> >> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>
>> >> Click here to receive our
>> >> weekly e-mail updates.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/08/2006 01:10 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Transcript & Teleconference

Peg:

I just arrived home for lunch. I can no longer take time during the work day for telephone conferences. As I told you I will need to finish this project after daily working hours. I am still getting things done from being out for ten days. I will review Tova's

recommendations and expand on mine this weekend.

Also, I sent you an e-mail asking how you handled the mileage portion of my travel voucher?

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> 4 PM EST is fine with me, if it works for Job. ---
> Peggy
>
>
>
> wang@tcf.org
> 06/08/2006 10:10 AM
>
> To
> psims@eac.gov
> cc
> [REDACTED]
> Subject
> Re: Transcript & Teleconference
>
>
>
>
>
> Can we make it 4 est? I have another meeting at 3.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <psims@eac.gov>
> To: <wang@tcf.org>
> Cc: [REDACTED]
> Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:55 AM
> Subject: Re: Transcript & Teleconference
>
>
> >
> > I'll see how it comes in. I hope we receive an
> electronic copy. If we
> > only receive a hard copy, we can pdf it and email
> it to the two of you.
> > How about Monday afternoon at 3 PM EST for a brief
> teleconference? I
> > really can't do it before them because of other
> commitments. --- Peggy
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > wang@tcf.org
> >
> > 06/08/2006 09:42 To
> > AM
> psims@eac.gov

004196

> > cc
> >
> [REDACTED]
> > Subject
> >
> > Re: Re:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > How will you be getting it to us? Will it be
> something you can email?
> > And
> >
> > can we set up a call for some time in the next few
> days? Thanks.
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: <psims@eac.gov>
> > To: <wang@tcf.org>
> > Cc: [REDACTED]
> > Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:35 AM
> > Subject: Re:
> >
> >
> >>
> >> Sorry. We have been swamped with other program
> activities and
> >> preparations
> >> for today's testimony before House Admin. We
> have not yet received the
> >> transcript of the Working Group session. Devon
> checked with the court
> >> reporter, who said it will be delivered today.
> --- Peggy
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> wang@tcf.org
> >>
> >> 06/08/2006 09:15 To
> >> AM
> psims@eac.gov
> >> cc
> >> "Job
> Serebrov"
> >>
> [REDACTED]
> >> Subject
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>

004195

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Hi, Whats going on? I have not received
> responses from either one of
> > you
> >> in a week. I'd like to wrap this up in the next
> two weeks if we can.
> Did
> >> you get my recommendations? Thanks.
> >>
> >> Tova
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/09/2006 01:56 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject travel

Hi again,

I just got the bill from our car service from the trip last month. Can I still send it to you? Do I need a cover note? Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
06/08/2006 05:09 PM

To "Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]@GSAEXTERNAL
cc
Subject Re: Transcript & Teleconference

004196

What time do you arrive home from work? Perhaps we could talk then?

Re your question on the mileage, I have approached our Financial Officer with a request that you receive full reimbursement on the grounds that your actual total travel costs are less than the estimated total travel costs if you had flown to DC, stayed in our more expensive hotels, and received the higher per diem for 3 days (instead of 1). I have not yet received a response from her and she has been out of the office much of this week, so I don't know what she decided to do. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]



"Job Serebrov"

06/08/2006 01:10 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Transcript & Teleconference

Peg:

I just arrived home for lunch. I can no longer take time during the work day for telephone conferences. As I told you I will need to finish this project after daily working hours. I am still getting things done from being out for ten days. I will review Tova's recommendations and expand on mine this weekend.

Also, I sent you an e-mail asking how you handled the mileage portion of my travel voucher?

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> 4 PM EST is fine with me, if it works for Job. ---
> Peggy
>
>
>
>
> wang@tcf.org
> 06/08/2006 10:10 AM
>
> To
> psims@eac.gov
> cc
> [REDACTED]
> Subject
> Re: Transcript & Teleconference
>
>
>

004137

>
>
> Can we make it 4 est? I have another meeting at 3.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <psims@eac.gov>
> To: <wang@tcf.org>
> Cc:
> Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:55 AM
> Subject: Re: Transcript & Teleconference

>
>
>> I'll see how it comes in. I hope we receive an
> electronic copy. If we
>> only receive a hard copy, we can pdf it and email
> it to the two of you.
>> How about Monday afternoon at 3 PM EST for a brief
> teleconference? I
>> really can't do it before them because of other
> commitments. --- Peggy

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
wang@tcf.org
>
>
06/08/2006 09:42 To
>
> psims@eac.gov
> cc
>
>
> Subject

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Re: Re:

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>> How will you be getting it to us? Will it be
> something you can email?
>> And
>
>
>> can we set up a call for some time in the next few
> days? Thanks.

> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: <psims@eac.gov>
>> To: <wang@tcf.org>
>> Cc:
>> Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:35 AM
>> Subject: Re:

004195

> >>
> >> Sorry. We have been swamped with other program
> activities and
> >> preparations
> >> for today's testimony before House Admin. We
> have not yet received the
> >> transcript of the Working Group session. Devon
> checked with the court
> >> reporter, who said it will be delivered today.
> --- Peggy
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> wang@tcf.org
> >>
> >> 06/08/2006 09:15 To
> >> AM
> psims@eac.gov
> >> cc
> >> "Job
> Serebrov"
> >>
> [REDACTED]
> >> Subject
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Hi, Whats going on? I have not received
> responses from either one of
> > you
> >> in a week. I'd like to wrap this up in the next
> two weeks if we can.
> Did
> >> you get my recommendations? Thanks.
> >>
> >> Tova
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

To psims@eac.gov

004190



06/09/2006 09:20 AM

cc

Subject FW: Transcript & Teleconference

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2006 8:17 AM
To: Tova Wang
Subject: RE: Transcript & Teleconference

Normally I am not home for lunch.

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> What about during a lunch hour?

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Job Serebrov [mailto:serebrov@sbcglobal.net]
> Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:42 PM
> To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
> Subject: Re: Transcript & Teleconference

>

>

> Peggy:

>

> I can't predict when I get home but it is between
> 5:30
> and 6:30 my time. I know that is generally too late
> to
> have a teleconference.

>

> I plan to review Tova's recommendations this weekend
> and work on my own as well as expanding the
> explanation of the case section.

>

> Please see what your financial officer did with
> regards to my travel.

>

> Thank you,

>

> Job

>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>

> > What time do you arrive home from work? Perhaps
> we
> > could talk then?

>

> > Re your question on the mileage, I have approached
> > our Financial Officer
> > with a request that you receive full reimbursement
> > on the grounds that
> > your actual total travel costs are less than the
> > estimated total travel

004300

> > costs if you had flown to DC, stayed in our more
> > expensive hotels, and
> > received the higher per diem for 3 days (instead
> of
> > 1). I have not yet
> > received a response from her and she has been out
> of
> > the office much of
> > this week, so I don't know what she decided to do.
> > --- Peggy

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> > 06/08/2006 01:10 PM

> >

> > To

> > psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

> > cc

> > [REDACTED]

> > Subject

> > Re: Transcript & Teleconference

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > Peg:

> >

> > I just arrived home for lunch. I can no longer

> take

> > time during the work day for telephone

> conferences.

> > As

> > I told you I will need to finish this project

> after

> > daily working hours. I am still getting things

> done

> > from being out for ten days. I will review Tova's

> recommendations and

> > expand on mine this weekend.

> >

> > Also, I sent you an e-mail asking how you handled

> the

> > mileage portion of my travel voucher?

> >

> > Job

> >

> >

> >

> > --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> >

> > > 4 PM EST is fine with me, if it works for Job.

> > ---

> > > Peggy

> > >

> > >

> > >

> > >

> > >

004207

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > How will you be getting it to us? Will it be
> > > something you can email?
> > > > And
> > > >
> > > > can we set up a call for some time in the next
> > few
> > > days? Thanks.
> > > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > > From: <psims@eac.gov>
> > > > To: <wang@tcf.org>
> > > > Cc: [REDACTED]
> > > > Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 9:35 AM
> > > > Subject: Re:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sorry. We have been swamped with other
> program
> > > activities and
> > > > preparations
> > > > for today's testimony before House Admin. We
> > > have not yet received the
> > > > transcript of the Working Group session.
> Devon
> > > checked with the court
> > > > reporter, who said it will be delivered
> today.
> > > --- Peggy
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > wang@tcf.org
> > > >
> > > > 06/08/2006 09:15 To
> > > > AM
>
=== message truncated ===

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
06/21/2006 11:00 AM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject nexis

Hi Peg and Job,

004206



absentee nexis chart 2FORMAT.xls 'dead' voters and multiple voting nexis chartFORMAT.xls intimidation and suppressionFORMAT.xls



voter registration fraud nexischartFORMAT.xls I don't know how we might be able to use these but here, finally, are the super-refined versions of the nexis charts. Can we include them? Thanks. Tova

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

To psims@eac.gov

06/19/2006 01:53 PM

cc

Subject RE: voucher

Thats a first! Thanks -- I'll fax and send. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 19, 2006 12:24 PM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: voucher

Looks good to me! --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/19/2006 08:40 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject voucher

Hi Peg,

Attached is my voucher for the last month -- can you check it quickly before I send it? Also, are we good for Wednesday at 7? Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang

Democracy Fellow

The Century Foundation

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

004204

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



wang@tcf.org

06/15/2006 03:30 PM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: teleconference

fine

----- Original Message -----

From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

To: <wang@tcf.org>; <psims@eac.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 10:17 PM

Subject: Re: teleconference

> Tova:

>

> 5 pm EST is 4 pm Central. Peg would have to call at 7

> pm EST to be 6 pm Central.

>

> Job

>

> --- wang@tcf.org wrote:

>

>> Let's try to do that. Peg, you will call us 5 pm

>> EST?

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

>> To: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

>> Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 6:29 PM

>> Subject: Re: teleconference

>>

>>

>> > Wednesday next week? It would have to be 6 pm.

>> >

>> > --- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

>> >

>> >> Hi Job,

>> >>

>> >> Peg tells me that we should now be getting the

>> >> transcript early next week.

>> >> Regardless, we should talk about the organization

>> >> and distribution of work

>> >> on the final report and try to finally get it

>> >> done.

>> >> Would it be possible

>> >> for you to do a call before you leave for work in

>> >> the morning, say 8 am your

>> >> time, on Wednesday? If not, could you do 6 pm

>> >> your

>> >> time on Wednesday?

004205

>> >> Thanks.
>> >>
>> >> Tova
>> >>
>> >> Tova Andrea Wang
>> >> Democracy Fellow
>> >> The Century Foundation
>> >> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
>> >> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>> >>
>> >> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
>> >> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
>> >> analysis, opinions, and events.
>> >>
>> >> _____
>> >> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>
>> >> Click here to receive our
>> >> weekly e-mail updates.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>


----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/19/2006 01:24 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: voucher 

Looks good to me! --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

06/19/2006 08:40 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject voucher

Hi Peg,

Attached is my voucher for the last month -- can you check it quickly before I send it? Also, are we good for Wednesday at 7? Thanks. Tova

004206

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534


Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



voucher 5-21 -- 6-17.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

06/22/2006 03:44 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org

Subject Fw: May 18, 2006 Meeting

Good news!!! The transcript is finally here.

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV on 06/22/2006 03:44 PM -----



"Carol J. Thomas Reporting"

[REDACTED]

06/22/2006 03:24 PM

To dromig@eac.gov

cc jwilson@eac.gov

Subject May 18, 2006 Meeting

Dear EAC,

Attached please note the ASCII file for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Meeting taken on Wednesday, May 18, 2006. Your transcript has been shipped to you.

ASCII file name: 051806.txt

Please let us know if you have any questions.



051806.TXT Timothy Brischler, Office Manager, 703.273.9221

004207

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/19/2006 04:28 PM

To Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Travel Reimbursement

I have been told that GSA expects to make the disbursement next week, probably on or around June 28.

--- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV

06/15/2006 05:01 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, Juliet E.
Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Gavin S.
Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Fw: The 7th Edition!

Here's an update from Craig on his Election Crimes book. The last was published in 1995.

----- Forwarded by Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV on 06/15/2006 08:38 AM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov
>

To bwhitener@eac.gov

cc

06/13/2006 08:04 PM

Subject The 7th Edition!

It is written and currently in the Deputy AG's office for policy review.

I have published the two most substantive chapters of the new book as private, personal papers under the aegis of the International Foundation for Electoral Systems (IFES), for which I have done a lot of work around the world. I recommend that you access IFES' website and go to the "Money and Politics" part of their extensive site. I should have two papers available there, one addressing Abuse of the Franchise (published in connection with work I did last year in Liberia) and the other involving Federal Campaign Finance Crime" done in connection with work in Bosnia.

If you can't find them this way, please call me: 202-514-1421.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/21/2006 06:21 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

cc

004208



Subject Re: Teleconference

It will need to be early next week. What news of the transcript?

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>
> I am sorry, but I have to postpone the
> teleconference originally scheduled
> for this evening. Is another day this week or early
> next week good for you
> two?
> Peggy
>
> -----
> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
>
>
>

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM ---



wang@tcf.org

06/22/2006 10:29 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Can I also get an answer on whether we can speak about the project publicly?

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/19/2006 12:19 PM

To wang@tcf.org@GSAEXTERNAL

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Subject Re: teleconference [REDACTED]

OK. I have marked my calendar for a 7 PM EST/6 PM CST teleconference for this Wednesday. Still no transcript. --- Peggy

wang@tcf.org



wang@tcf.org

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED], psims@eac.gov

004209



06/15/2006 03:30 PM

cc

Subject Re: teleconference

fine

----- Original Message -----

From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

To: <wang@tcf.org>; <psims@eac.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 10:17 PM

Subject: Re: teleconference

> Tova:

>

> 5 pm EST is 4 pm Central. Peg would have to call at 7
> pm EST to be 6 pm Central.

>

> Job

>

> --- wang@tcf.org wrote:

>

>> Let's try to do that. Peg, you will call us 5 pm
>> EST?

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

>> To: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

>> Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 6:29 PM

>> Subject: Re: teleconference

>>

>>

>> > Wednesday next week? It would have to be 6 pm.

>> >

>> > --- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

>> >

>> >> Hi Job,

>> >>

>> >> Peg tells me that we should now be getting the
>> >> transcript early next week.

>> >> Regardless, we should talk about the organization
>> >> and distribution of work

>> >> on the final report and try to finally get it
>> >> done.

>> >> Would it be possible

>> >> for you to do a call before you leave for work in
>> >> the morning, say 8 am your

>> >> time, on Wednesday? If not, could you do 6 pm
>> >> your

>> >> time on Wednesday?

>> >> Thanks.

>> >>

>> >> Tova

>> >>

>> >> Tova Andrea Wang

>> >> Democracy Fellow

>> >> The Century Foundation

>> >> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

004210

>> >> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>> >>
>> >> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
>> >> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
>> >> analysis, opinions, and events.
>> >>
>> >> _____
>> >> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>
>> >> Click here to receive our
>> >> weekly e-mail updates.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/19/2006 02:28 PM

To Diana Scott

cc Bola Olu/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Travel Reimbursement for Serebrov

Would it be possible to find out how fast GSA will be able to process the travel reimbursement for Job Serebrov? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/18/2006 12:31 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject pay/travel

Peggy:

I need you to check on Monday to see when I will get my last invoice paid as well as my travel which was going to be expedited.

Are we still talking on Wednesday at 7 EST?

Thanks,

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

004211

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/19/2006 02:12 PM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc

Subject Re: pay/travel

Your personal services invoice should be paid this week (Thursday or Friday). The payment of travel costs will take longer. I'll check with Finance to see if we can get an estimated date from GSA. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov"



"Job Serebrov"

06/18/2006 12:31 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject pay/travel

Peggy:

I need you to check on Monday to see when I will get my last invoice paid as well as my travel which was going to be expedited.

Are we still talking on Wednesday at 7 EST?

Thanks,

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Simmons, Nancy"

<Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>

>

06/20/2006 06:52 PM

To aambrogi@eac.gov

cc psims@eac.gov, "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

Subject list of state election crimes

Adam, Craig thought you were looking for a list of federal statutes, which are discussed in our election fraud manual. We don't have lists of state election crimes. Craig suggests that you contact Peggy Sims at the EAC - she's a wonderful resource, and I'm including her in my reply. Good luck.

Nancy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Diana Scott/EAC/GOV

004212

06/19/2006 03:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc Bola Olu/EAC/GOV@EAC
Subject Re: Travel Reimbursement for Serebrov

Peggy--We sent the request to the Finance Center on 6/13. Finance quotes a 2 week turnaround.

Diana M. Scott
Administrative Officer
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
(202) 566-3100 (office)
(202) 566-3127 (fax)
dscott@eac.gov

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/19/2006 02:28 PM

To DScott@eac.gov
cc Bola Olu/EAC/GOV@EAC
Subject Travel Reimbursement for Serebrov

Would it be possible to find out how fast GSA will be able to process the travel reimbursement for Job Serebrov? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/22/2006 10:30 AM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>
cc
Subject Re: Teleconference

OK. Next Monday (6-26) at 7 PM EST. I'll call you.
Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Sent: 06/21/2006 09:34 PM

004211

To: wang@tcf.org; psims@eac.gov
Subject: Re: Teleconference

Monday at 7 EST is ok with me. What about you Peg?

Job

--- wang@tcf.org wrote:

> How about Monday at 6:30 or 7 est?
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>
> To: <psims@eac.gov>; "Tova Andrea Wang"
> <wang@tcf.org>
> Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2006 6:21 PM
> Subject: Re: Teleconference
>
>
> > It will need to be early next week. What news of
> the
> > transcript?
> >
> >
> > --- psims@eac.gov wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> I am sorry, but I have to postpone the
> >> teleconference originally scheduled
> >> for this evening. Is another day this week or
> >> early
> >> next week good for you
> >> two?
> >> Peggy
> >>
> >> -----
> >> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/19/2006 12:30 PM

To Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV

cc Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC, Juliet E.

Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: Fw: The 7th Edition! [E]

I have a copy of Donsanto's IFES paper, if you need it. We used it as one of the resources for the vote fraud-voter intimidation research. --- Peggy

004214

Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV

Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV

06/15/2006 05:01 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, Juliet E.
Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Gavin S.
Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Fw: The 7th Edition!

Here's an update from Craig on his Election Crimes book. The last was published in 1995.

----- Forwarded by Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV on 06/15/2006 08:38 AM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov

>

To bwhitener@eac.gov

cc

06/13/2006 08:04 PM

Subject The 7th Edition!

It is written and currently in the Deputy AG's office for policy review.

I have published the two most substantive chapters of the new book as private, personal papers under the aegis of the International Foundation for Electoral Systems (IFES), for which I have done a lot of work around the world. I recommend that you access IFES' website and go to the "Money and Politics" part of their extensive site. I should have two papers available there, one addressing Abuse of the Franchise (published in connection with work I did last year in Liberia) and the other involving Federal Campaign Finance Crime" done in connection with work in Bosnia.

If you can't find them this way, please call me: 202-514-1421.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

06/21/2006 12:25 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject RE: Teleconference

Anyday anytime except tomorrow is OK by me. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

004215

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2006 11:15 AM
To: Tova Andrea Wang; Job Serebrov
Subject: Teleconference

I am sorry, but I have to postpone the teleconference originally scheduled for this evening. Is another day this week or early next week good for you two? Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/22/2006 09:27 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Suggestions



RECOMMENDATIONS.doc Peggy:

When Tova sent me her suggestions I made some changes and additions. Tova later wrote to me and said she expected me to come up with my own list. Due to time constraints and at risk of duplication I rather go with the corrected suggestions.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/21/2006 06:25 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>, psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: nexis

I have no objection to amending the official findings/CD to add these.

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> Hi Peg and Job,

>

> I don't know how we might be able to use these but
> here, finally, are the

004216

> super-refined versions of the nexis charts. Can we
> include them? Thanks.
> Tova
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/22/2006 10:31 AM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>
cc

Subject Re: nexis

Fine by me.

Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Sent: 06/21/2006 06:25 PM
To: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>; psims@eac.gov
Subject: Re: nexis

I have no objection to amending the official findings/CD to add these.

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> Hi Peg and Job,
>
> I don't know how we might be able to use these but
> here, finally, are the
> super-refined versions of the nexis charts. Can we
> include them? Thanks.
> Tova
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:18 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/20/2006 11:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject question

00421

Am I correct in assuming that I still cannot discuss the findings of our report? Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM —



"Job Serebrov"

06/30/2006 10:02 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Various

For Donsanto to be able to do this, we would need enough time and money to contact all interviewees and also permit comment from them. However, in this matter I am 100% in agreement with Tova.

--- wang@tcf.org wrote:

> Also, I maintain that a reasonable solution to this
> is to allow Donsanto
> and/or any of the commissioners who desire to do so
> to provide a statement
> that would be included in the report and in the
> record.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <wang@tcf.org>
> To: <psims@eac.gov>; "Job Serebrov"
> [REDACTED]
> Cc: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>
> Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 9:42 PM
> Subject: Re: Various
>
>
> > That would be great on the contract.
> >
> > If the interview is "edited" as you put it, I will
> be very, very
> > uncomfortable, as I believe Job would be as well.
> I know you don't want
> > to spend anymore time on this, but I consider it a
> rather important issue,
> > and I think Job does too. I would be happy to
> talk to you and Tom and any
> > of the commissioners about this further if that
> would be helpful. I am
> > available by cell over the next four days and in

004218

> the office all next week.
> >
> > Thanks for the updated invoice stuff. Happy 4th.
> >
> > Tova
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: <psims@eac.gov>
> > To: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> > Cc: "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>
> > Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 6:41 PM
> > Subject: Re: Various
> >
> >
> >> Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one
> I did attend, but I
> >> agree the issue is taking up too much of your
> time. I just wanted you to
> >> be forewarned that the paragraph has already
> raised red flags in DC of and
> >> is likely to result in an edit. Enough said
> about that.
> >>
> >> I am concerned about the number of hours left for
> this project. If you
> >> and Tova both agree, I'll see if our Contracting
> Officer will approve a
> >> contract mod to provide for some additional hours
> and money to
> >> incorporate comments received on the report and
> other efforts that fall
> >> within the tasks specified in the current
> contract. We won't get 60
> >> thou, but there might be a little year end money
> we can use to finish
> >> this off properly.
> >> Peg
> >>
> >> -----
> >> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
> >>
> >>
> >> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> >> Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM
> >> To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
> >> Subject: Various
> >>
> >> Peg:
> >>
> >> I had to take time off this afternoon to handle
> some
> >> issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel
> >> reimbursement?
> >>
> >> I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both
> >> agree about what we heard during the interview.
> We
> >> also agree that this is taking up too much time
> (of
> >> which we have so little left) and is a minor part

> of
> >> one interview which makes up one of thirty
> interviews.
> >> I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not
> in on
> >> the interview and thus do not know what was said
> and
> >> we are not giving those interviewed the
> opportunity,
> >> especially given how long ago the interviews
> were, to
> >> object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give
> us
> >> another sixty hours each we can call all of our
> >> interviewees, give them the review and ask for
> >> comments. In any case, we can't include comments
> from
> >> other interviews with, or lectures by person
> >> interviewed, outside of our interview with that
> >> person. We simply can't afford to single out one
> >> statement in one interview that there is a
> >> disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the
> paragraph
> >> as you do---I remember what was said---the
> paragraph
> >> clearly does not imply an abandonment of other
> DOJ
> >> electoral investigations.
> >>
> >> Job
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 02:47 PM

To Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: U.S. News & World Report 

Here it is. --- Peg



EAC Boards VF-VI Status Report.doc

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

004226

06/27/2006 01:12 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Re: U.S. News & World Report

Peg,
Would you please send me the document regarding this project that was submitted to the Standards Bd?

Jeannie Layson
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-566-3100
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/28/2006 04:37 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov"
cc
Subject methodology

As you may recall, the working group expressed interest in the risk analysis method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on voting machines employs this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the attached, it provides a potential model. I think it might be worth including this as an appendix or footnote in the methodology section. Please let me know what you think. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



brennan machine report.pdf

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
06/30/2006 05:31 PM

To Job Serebrov
cc
Subject Contract Hours & Payments for Services

004221

Here is the spreadsheet I have for you. Please let me know if you notice any discrepancies. Thanks. ---
Peggy



Serebrov Payment Tracking.xls

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/27/2006 12:48 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject invoice

Hi Peg,

What is the current invoice schedule? Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 12:07 PM


To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto:
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM
To: Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov
Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> As you may recall, the working group expressed

004221

> interest in the risk analysis
> method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on
> voting machines employs
> this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the
> attached, it provides a
> potential model. I think it might be worth
> including this as an appendix or
> footnote in the methodology section. Please let me
> know what you think.
> Tova
>
> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>
> _____
> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

07/02/2006 10:28 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Please Change This

Peggy:

In the transcript, there is one serious mistake that must be changed immediately. On page 5 it indicates that I helped review and draft changes to the election code of Libya. It should be Namibia not Libya. The reason this is so serious if it stands is that at the time I reviewed Namibia's Code it was illegal for Americans to deal with Libya. I need to know that this has been corrected any ALL parties who have seen the transcript notified.

Job

00422

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 12:12 PM

To Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

cc twilkey@eac.gov, Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject U.S. News & World Report

Jeannie

We suspect that someone from the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project Working Group has been talking to reporters, tipping them off about what we are finding in our preliminary study, and referring them to our consultants (although the information could have come from anyone on the EAC boards, too). Apparently, the U.S. News & World Report reporter who contacted me also contacted both consultants working on the project.

Based on my recommendation, Tova Wang and, possibly, Job Serebrov, who are on EAC personal services contracts for our voting fraud and voter intimidation research, will seek further clarification from you about what they can and cannot say to reporters and in public fora about vote fraud and voter intimidation and about EAC's research. I have previously advised Tova and Job not to discuss the work they are doing for us as this is EAC research, the Commissioners have not yet received and accepted the final report, and the Commission has not approved their speaking about the EAC research.

Tova plans to call you tomorrow (Tuesday, June 27) about the issue. In addition to the reporter's inquiry, she has been invited to speak on the subject at the summer conference of the National Association of State Legislatures. She has plenty of knowledge of the subject in her own right (apart from our study), but is having trouble differentiating between her own work and the work she is doing for us. Please, just let me know what you advise her to do.

--- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

06/27/2006 12:26 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>

cc

Subject outline of final report

Does this work for you?

Tova Andrea Wang

Democracy Fellow

The Century Foundation

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

00422



Table of Contents.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 05:27 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: invoice

Attached is an updated schedule showing 2 more invoice periods. I'll send separate spreadsheets to you and Job showing what funds and hours have been used and what are available. --- Peggy



FY06 Contracts Invoice Schedule.xls

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

06/27/2006 12:48 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject invoice

Hi Peg,

What is the current invoice schedule? Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang

Democracy Fellow

The Century Foundation

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

[REDACTED]

06/30/2006 10:01 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov

cc "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

Subject Re: Various

00422

I would make time to discuss this. I feel that any edit would be wrong while a comment at the end of the interview by the Commission would not be. But in this case, two of us remember it one way and one the other way.

--- wang@tcf.org wrote:

> That would be great on the contract.
>
> If the interview is "edited" as you put it, I will
> be very, very
> uncomfortable, as I believe Job would be as well. I
> know you don't want to
> spend anymore time on this, but I consider it a
> rather important issue, and
> I think Job does too. I would be happy to talk to
> you and Tom and any of
> the commissioners about this further if that would
> be helpful. I am
> available by cell over the next four days and in the
> office all next week.

> Thanks for the updated invoice stuff. Happy 4th.

> Tova

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: <psims@eac.gov>

> To: "Job Serebrov" <

> Cc: "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

> Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 6:41 PM

> Subject: Re: Various

> > Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one
> I did attend, but I
> > agree the issue is taking up too much of your
> time. I just wanted you to
> > be forewarned that the paragraph has already raised
> red flags in DC of and
> > is likely to result in an edit. Enough said about
> that.

> > I am concerned about the number of hours left for
> this project. If you and
> > Tova both agree, I'll see if our Contracting
> Officer will approve a
> > contract mod to provide for some additional hours
> and money to incorporate
> > comments received on the report and other efforts
> that fall within the
> > tasks specified in the current contract. We won't
> get 60 thou, but there
> > might be a little year end money we can use to
> finish this off properly.

> > Peg

> >

> > -----

00422

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 04:13 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Subject Re: outline of final report [REDACTED]

I'll need to get back to you on this and the definition tomorrow (too many things going on today). In the meantime, I have attached the written status report that was presented to the EAC Standards Board and Board of Advisors, because I can't remember if I ever provided the final version to the two of you. The status report is primarily made up of your preliminary reports, with some intro information provided and a brief summary of recommendations discussed at the Working Group meeting. This may or may not help the two of you in preparing the final. You can use any of it, or none of it. I am sure that your product will be much better than this quickly pulled together thing. --- Peggy



EAC Boards VF-VI Status Report.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/27/2006 12:26 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject outline of final report

Does this work for you?

Tova Andrea Wang

Democracy Fellow

The Century Foundation

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



Table of Contents.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

06/29/2006 07:58 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Travel Pay

004228

Peg:

So far no travel pay. Tova got hers a couple of days ago. Please call and check. I need it.

Thanks,

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 01:24 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc twilkey@eac.gov

Subject RE: donsanto interview

Peg, If you review the numerous speeches and writings of Donsanto, including at the BAI training sessions, you will see that in the past he has frequently said that as a matter of law and policy the Department generally only pursued organized patterns. I can point you to particular citations if you like. He clearly said when we interviewed him that there had been a shift in resources and energy. This is in both of our notes. I don't think this should be an issue of departmental politics.

Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 12:00 PM

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Cc: twilkey@eac.gov

Subject: Re: donsanto interview

Tova and Job:

All I can do is advise you that I don't think this paragraph will pass by the Commission, as written, because readers can misinterpret what is being reported and use something published by EAC against DOJ. I suspect that both of you are aware of legal action being taken by an advocacy group against DOJ alleging that the agency is acting in a manner that fails to protect, and even discourages, the voter participation of minorities and disadvantaged individuals. Though I do not intend to address the merits of that action, which focuses on the efforts of more than one DOJ office, I am concerned that some readers would use the sentence that begins with "This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression ..." as evidence that DOJ's Election Crimes Branch has completely changed course to focus on aggressively pursuing individuals who vote when ineligible, many of whom are minorities.

It is true that, for years, the Election Crimes Branch did not pursue individual violators. (I certainly observed this from the time I became involved in researching election administration matters in 1986.) Much of the reason for this is that the agency just did not have the resources to pursue everything; so, as the agency budget permitted, DOJ pursued cases that provided the most bang

00422

for the buck --- cases involving multiple individuals that were not already being pursued by State or local public attorneys. As you know, DOJ recently expanded its efforts and added the prosecution of individuals for double voting or voting when ineligible (felony convictions or no U.S. citizenship). Although I did not know of this decision prior to the interview, the action is not a complete surprise, given the increasing pressure on the agency to pursue such cases that began with a real squeaker of a 1996 race in California's 46th CD (Orange County). In the interview with you, Donsanto also stated that the department evaluates each case before pursuing it, and does not pursue every individual referred for voting violations. (You may remember he noted his reluctance to pursue noncitizen voting, which can result in deportation, when it could separate the individual from his family.)

In my opinion, the addition of the prosecution of individuals, while an important new development, is not a complete change in direction or focus. The pursuit of individual violators does not supplant DOJ's continuing efforts to pursue organized schemes to corrupt the process. It is part of a recent expansion of the agency's efforts to combat election crime that includes: (1) more aggressive pursuit of criminal campaign finance violations (not covered by EAC's study); (2) exploration of new avenues to prosecute voter suppression schemes (e.g.; the NH phone bank blocking case); (3) better training of U.S. attorneys and FBI agents in the recognition, investigation and prosecution of election offenses; (4) efforts to improve coordination with state and local law enforcement agencies; and (5) press conferences and public announcements before federal elections to publicize how the public can report election crimes. Donsanto provided information on much of these efforts either during the interview or by supplying case lists and training information on the day of the interview.

I hope you will reconsider revising the paragraph at issue.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/28/2006 04:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov
CC "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Subject donsanto interview

Hi Peg,

Job and I have discussed this matter and agree on our response to it.

Presumably the paragraph you are concerned about is the following:

004231

Since 2002, the department has brought more cases against alien voters, felon voters, and double voters than ever before. Previously, cases were only brought when there was a pattern or scheme to corrupt the process. Charges were not brought against individuals – those cases went un-prosecuted. This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression was by the decision of the Attorney General. The reason for the change was for deterrence purposes.

Neither of us thinks this passage says that the Department has stopped pursuing patterns, as you suggested, and we maintain that this is what Mr. Donsanto said to us in the interview. If Mr. Donsanto wants to object, perhaps he can write a letter or something to that effect that could be part of the record.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/29/2006 05:31 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED] <twilkey@eac.gov>

Subject RE: donsanto interview

I don't think anyone disagrees that DOJ's earlier policy was to prosecute organized conspiracies, not individual violators. This policy was based both on existing law and resources available. Donsanto made that clear in numerous presentations before election officials, though I doubt he would have highlighted the resource issue in any of his written reports.

I did *not* hear Donsanto say that there was a shift in resources and energy *away from* prosecuting organized conspiracies in order to pursue prosecutions of individuals. I think we should avoid implying that this is the case. I understood his statement to address a shift in DOJ resources and energy to support increased efforts to prosecute election crimes, including the expansion of prosecutions to include individual incidents. I have not seen, nor do I think Donsanto has ever stated, that there has been a decrease in the effort to prosecute organized conspiracies to corrupt the process. Yet, adequate resources continue to be an issue, as Donsanto noted in his interview and at the Working Group meeting (when referring to having to decide which of two voter suppression cases to prosecute because he didn't have the resources to do both).

Your reference to policy based on law reminded me that changes in federal law, and an evolution in the understanding of how to use newer law, also would have affected DOJ's decision to add the prosecution of individuals for such violations as registering and voting when not a U.S. citizen or when a convicted felon. Earlier federal law did not directly address voter registration by felons, permitting *federal* prosecution in such instances only where it could be shown that the applicant *knowingly and willfully*

00423

provided false information as to his or her eligibility to vote. Earlier federal law permitted the prosecution of noncitizens for registering to vote based on false claims of the U.S. citizenship that each State required for registering to vote in federal elections, but did not require U.S. citizenship to vote in federal elections. These laws made *federal* prosecution of noncitizen and felon voter registration and voting much more challenging. With the implementation of the NVRA in 1995, we began to see federal election law that could more easily be used for federal prosecution of both voter registration and voting by noncitizens and convicted felons. And, late in 1996, immigration reform legislation was passed that clearly prohibits noncitizens from voting in federal elections (without requiring the "knowing and willful" component).

--- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 01:24 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc twilkey@eac.gov

Subject RE: donsanto interview

Peg, If you review the numerous speeches and writings of Donsanto, including at the BAI training sessions, you will see that in the past he has frequently said that as a matter of law and policy the Department generally only pursued organized patterns. I can point you to particular citations if you like. He clearly said when we interviewed him that there had been a shift in resources and energy. This is in both of our notes. I don't think this should be an issue of departmental politics.

Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 12:00 PM

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Cc: twilkey@eac.gov

Subject: Re: donsanto interview

Tova and Job:

All I can do is advise you that I don't think this paragraph will pass by the Commission, as written, because readers can misinterpret what is being reported and use something published by EAC against DOJ. I suspect that both of you are aware of legal action being taken by an advocacy group against DOJ alleging that the agency is acting in a manner that fails to protect, and even discourages, the voter participation of minorities and disadvantaged individuals. Though I do not intend to address the merits of that action, which focuses on the efforts of more than one DOJ office, I am concerned that some readers would use the sentence that begins with "This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression ..." as evidence that DOJ's Election Crimes Branch has completely changed course to focus on aggressively pursuing individuals who vote when ineligible, many of whom are minorities.

It is true that, for years, the Election Crimes Branch did not pursue individual violators. (I certainly observed this from the time I became involved in researching election administration matters in 1986.) Much of the reason for this is that the agency just did not have the resources to pursue everything; so, as the agency budget permitted, DOJ pursued cases that provided the most bang


00423

for the buck --- cases involving multiple individuals that were not already being pursued by State or local public attorneys. As you know, DOJ recently expanded its efforts and added the prosecution of individuals for double voting or voting when ineligible (felony convictions or no U.S. citizenship). Although I did not know of this decision prior to the interview, the action is not a complete surprise, given the increasing pressure on the agency to pursue such cases that began with a real squeaker of a 1996 race in California's 46th CD (Orange County). In the interview with you, Donsanto also stated that the department evaluates each case before pursuing it, and does not pursue every individual referred for voting violations. (You may remember he noted his reluctance to pursue noncitizen voting, which can result in deportation, when it could separate the individual from his family.)

In my opinion, the addition of the prosecution of individuals, while an important new development, is not a complete change in direction or focus. The pursuit of individual violators does not supplant DOJ's continuing efforts to pursue organized schemes to corrupt the process. It is part of a recent expansion of the agency's efforts to combat election crime that includes: (1) more aggressive pursuit of criminal campaign finance violations (not covered by EAC's study); (2) exploration of new avenues to prosecute voter suppression schemes (e.g., the NH phone bank blocking case); (3) better training of U.S. attorneys and FBI agents in the recognition, investigation and prosecution of election offenses; (4) efforts to improve coordination with state and local law enforcement agencies; and (5) press conferences and public announcements before federal elections to publicize how the public can report election crimes. Donsanto provided information on much of these efforts either during the interview or by supplying case lists and training information on the day of the interview.

I hope you will reconsider revising the paragraph at issue.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission



06/28/2006 04:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov

CC "Job Serebrov" <serebrov@sbcglobal.net>

Subject donsanto interview

Hi Peg,

Job and I have discussed this matter and agree on our response to it.

Presumably the paragraph you are concerned about is the following:

00423

Since 2002, the department has brought more cases against alien voters, felon voters, and double voters than ever before. Previously, cases were only brought when there was a pattern or scheme to corrupt the process. Charges were not brought against individuals – those cases went un-prosecuted. This change in direction, focus, and level of aggression was by the decision of the Attorney General. The reason for the change was for deterrence purposes.

Neither of us thinks this passage says that the Department has stopped pursuing patterns, as you suggested, and we maintain that this is what Mr. Donsanto said to us in the interview. If Mr. Donsanto wants to object, perhaps he can write a letter or something to that effect that could be part of the record.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 05:29 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang

cc

Subject Contract Hours & Payments for Services

Here is the spreadsheet I have for you. Please let me know if you notice any discrepancies. Thanks. ---
Peggy



Wang Payment Tracking.xls

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 06:19 PM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: Various

Not yet. The problem is that so many folks seem to be off for a long 4th of

004234

July weekend.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM
To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
Subject: Various

Peg:

I had to take time off this afternoon to handle some issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel reimbursement?

I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both agree about what we heard during the interview. We also agree that this is taking up too much time (of which we have so little left) and is a minor part of one interview which makes up one of thirty interviews. I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in on the interview and thus do not know what was said and we are not giving those interviewed the opportunity, especially given how long ago the interviews were, to object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give us another sixty hours each we can call all of our interviewees, give them the review and ask for comments. In any case, we can't include comments from other interviews with, or lectures by person interviewed, outside of our interview with that person. We simply can't afford to single out one statement in one interview that there is a disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the paragraph as you do---I remember what was said---the paragraph clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ electoral investigations.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

06/30/2006 07:10 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
cc

Subject Re: Various

Peg:

Its ok with me as long as we finish before the end of November.

004235

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one I
> did attend, but I agree the issue is taking up too
> much of your time. I just wanted you to be forewarned
> that the paragraph has already raised red flags in
> DC of and is likely to result in an edit. *Enough
> said about that.

>
> I am concerned about the number of hours left for
> this project. If you and Tova both agree, I'll see
> if our Contracting Officer will approve a contract
> mod to provide for some additional hours and money
> to incorporate comments received on the report and
> other efforts that fall within the tasks specified
> in the current contract. We won't get 60 thou, but
> there might be a little year end money we can use to
> finish this off properly.

> Peg

>

> -----

> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM

> To: psims@eac.gov; [REDACTED]

> Subject: Various

>

> Peg:

>

> I had to take time off this afternoon to handle some
> issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel
> reimbursement?

>

> I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both
> agree about what we heard during the interview. We
> also agree that this is taking up too much time (of
> which we have so little left) and is a minor part of
> one interview which makes up one of thirty
> interviews.

> I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in
> on

> the interview and thus do not know what was said and
> we are not giving those interviewed the opportunity,
> especially given how long ago the interviews were,
> to

> object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give us
> another sixty hours each we can call all of our
> interviewees, give them the review and ask for
> comments. In any case, we can't include comments
> from

> other interviews with, or lectures by person
> interviewed, outside of our interview with that
> person. We simply can't afford to single out one
> statement in one interview that there is a
> disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the paragraph

004236

> as you do---I remember what was said---the paragraph
> clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ
> electoral investigations.
>
> Job
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/26/2006 04:38 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED] SSAEXTERNAL

cc dromig@eac.gov; [REDACTED]

Subject RE: May 18, 2006 Meeting [REDACTED]

I wasn't planning on circulating the transcript to the Commissioners. Most of them probably don't have the time to go through the whole thing. I will let them know it is available, if they are interested in reviewing it.
--- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang"

06/23/2006 01:04 PM

To dromig@eac.gov, psims@eac.gov

cc [REDACTED]

Subject RE: May 18, 2006 Meeting

Wow, there are a lot of errors in this. But at least it gets at the substance. Will this be circulated to the commissioners?

-----Original Message-----

From: dromig@eac.gov [mailto:dromig@eac.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2006 2:45 PM

To: psims@eac.gov

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Fw: May 18, 2006 Meeting

Good news!!! The transcript is finally here.

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov

00423

----- Forwarded by Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV on 06/22/2006 03:44 PM -----
"Carol J. Thomas Reporting" <carolthomasreporting@cox.net>

06/22/2006 03:24 PM

To dromig@eac.gov
cc jwilson@eac.gov
Subject May 18, 2006 Meeting

Dear EAC,

Attached please note the ASCII file for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Meeting taken on Wednesday, May 18, 2006. Your transcript has been shipped to you.

ASCII file name: 051806.txt

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Timothy Brischler, Office Manager, 703.273.9221

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

06/27/2006 10:07 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: definition

I am ok with it.

--- Tova Wang [REDACTED] wrote:

> Is this OK now?
>
> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>
>
> _____
>

004238

> <mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

—— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM ——



"Job Serebrov"

06/26/2006 06:52 PM

To [REDACTED] psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Methodology for Cases



Methodology for Case Review.doc

—— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM ——

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 04:05 PM

To Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: US News & World Report inquiry

Jeannie:

Here are my responses:

1. When will EAC receive the preliminary report on voter intimidation and voting fraud?

I anticipate that we will have a draft final report from our consultants in 2-3 weeks, after our consultants have had time to review the transcript from the project Working Group meeting, which was not available until last week.

2. When we receive the preliminary report, what is the EAC process to formulate a final product that will be made public?

First, Commissioners and Commission staff will have to review the preliminary draft. Then a draft will be submitted to the EAC Standards Board and EAC Advisory Board for review and comment. This second step is taken in accordance with HAVA §247, which requires EAC to carry out its duties under Title II, Subtitle C (Studies and Other Activities to Promote Effective Administration of Federal Elections) in consultation with the Standards Board and the Board of Advisors.

3. When will we make this research available to the public? What form will it be in? (Best practices, etc.)

The final report cannot be made public until it has been accepted by the Commissioners. Normally, this does not happen until the researcher(s) submit a final report that has been revised to address clarifications and corrections deemed necessary through the review process described above. The time it takes for the researchers to produce this final report will depend, somewhat, on the number of clarifications and corrections deemed necessary.

00423

As the researchers were charged with conducting preliminary background research on voting fraud and voter intimidation in the U.S., this report will not include recommended best practices. It will summarize the preliminary research as well as the deliberations of our project Working Group. It also will include recommendations for future EAC activity related to the development of: (1) methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating voting fraud and voter intimidation; and (2) nationwide statistics on voting fraud.

If the reporter has spoken to Secretary Rokita, who maintains that EAC has no authority to conduct this research, you may want to note that EAC initiated this preliminary research on voting fraud and voter intimidation in accordance with the Help America Vote Act, (HAVA) §241, which requires EAC to conduct research on election administration issues, including the development of:

- nationwide statistics and methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating voting fraud in elections for Federal office [§241(b)(6)]; and
- ways of identifying, deterring, and investigating methods of voter intimidation [§241(b)(7)].

At its 2005 meeting, EAC's Board of Advisors recommended that the agency make research on these matters a high priority.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

06/27/2006 02:26 PM

To psims@eac.gov, twilkey@eac.gov
cc

Subject US News & World Report inquiry

Please provide answers to the following questions, posed to me by US News & World Report's Scott Michels. I need this info by the end of the day to meet his deadline.

1. When will EAC receive the preliminary report on voter intimidation and voting fraud?
2. When we receive the preliminary report, what is the EAC process to formulate a final product that will be made public?
3. When will we make this research available to the public? What form will it be in? (Best practices, etc.)

Jeannie Layson
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-566-3100
www.eac.gov

004240

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

It sounds similar to the issues I had with the Donsanto interview. It was a classic example of the interviewers' interpreting what was said through their own biases.

It also is true that the original interview summaries failed to differentiate between the criminal definition of intimidation and the consultants use of the term.. The consultants have revised their definition to note that it goes beyond the legal definition, but we may need to repeat the statement where the DOJ interviews are referenced.

I have already brought the Donsanto matter to our contractors' attention. When they responded that they did not think they should redraft that section, I told them that the section will likely be edited. It appears that we will have to do the same with the reference to Tanner's interview.

Why don't we discuss this with Tanner (and Donsanto) after we have had a chance to review a consolidated draft of the final report? We can determine what clarifications or corrections are necessary at that time.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/11/2006 09:46 AM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

His concerns are that there were inaccurate or false statements about DOJ on pages 5 and 6, that in his words demonstrated a lack of understanding of criminal law.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/11/2006 09:26 AM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

Perhaps he was looking at the report that was delivered to the EAC boards. Let's find out what his concerns are so that we can address them.

Peg

00424

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/10/2006 02:34 PM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

Tanner said he got it from Cameron. And referred specifically to pp. 5 and 6. I don't remember that the summaries of interviews were laid out that way.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/10/2006 02:29 PM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

I have not yet seen a draft final report. My best guess is that Tanner is concerned about the summary of his interview. I have already had discussions with our consultants about the description of the Donsanto interview, at which I was present. Wikey knows that I won't let it go as is. I wasn't at the Tanner interview, but would be interested in hearing where he thinks the consultants went wrong.

It is possible that, due to my objections re the Donsanto interview, the consultants may have asked Tanner to review their description of his interview. I won't know for sure until I can contact them.

I gave you and Gavin a folder that included a summary of interviews, etc before the working group meeting. Also, the report delivered to the boards on this project is in the shared drawer under Research in Progress-Voting Fraud-Intimidation. That is everything I have at the moment.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/10/2006 10:55 AM
To: Margaret Sims
Cc: Thomas Wilkey
Subject: Fraud and Intimidation Study

I received a call from John Tanner today who was upset with pages 5 and 6 of some draft paper that he had received regarding our Fraud and Intimidation Study. I am in a very uncomfortable situation in that I have not received a copy of this paper and the Office of General Counsel has not vetted this document and yet I am being questioned about why there are erroneous statements in this paper. Please provide me with a copy of this document and please explain to me how John Tanner got a copy of this document

004242

before I did.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/11/2006 10:55 AM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc "Tom Wilkey" <twilkey@eac.gov>

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

It sounds similar to the issues I had with the Donsanto interview. It was a classic example of the interviewers' interpreting what was said through their own biases.

It also is true that the original interview summaries failed to differentiate between the criminal definition of intimidation and the consultants use of the term.. The consultants have revised their definition to note that it goes beyond the legal definition, but we may need to repeat the statement where the DOJ interviews are referenced.

I have already brought the Donsanto matter to our contractors' attention. When they responded that they did not think they should redraft that section, I told them that the section will likely be edited. It appears that we will have to do the same with the reference to Tanner's interview.

Why don't we discuss this with Tanner (and Donsanto) after we have had a chance to review a consolidated draft of the final report? We can determine what clarifications or corrections are necessary at that time.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/11/2006 09:46 AM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

His concerns are that there were inaccurate or false statements about DOJ on pages 5 and 6, that in his words demonstrated a lack of understanding of criminal law.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins

00424

General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/11/2006 09:26 AM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

Perhaps he was looking at the report that was delivered to the EAC boards. Let's find out what his concerns are so that we can address them.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

Sent: 07/10/2006 02:34 PM

To: Margaret Sims

Subject: Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

Tanner said he got it from Cameron. And referred specifically to pp. 5 and 6. I don't remember that the summaries of interviews were laid out that way.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/10/2006 02:29 PM

To Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fraud and Intimidation Study

I have not yet seen a draft final report. My best guess is that Tanner is concerned about the summary of his interview. I have already had discussions with our consultants about the description of the Donsanto interview, at which I was present. Wikey knows that I won't let it go as is. I wasn't at the Tanner interview, but would be interested in hearing where he thinks the consultants went wrong.

It is possible that, due to my objections re the Donsanto interview, the consultants may have asked Tanner to review their description of his interview. I won't know for sure until I can contact them.

004244

I gave you and Gavin a folder that included a summary of interviews, etc before the working group meeting. Also, the report delivered to the boards on this project is in the shared drawer under Research in Progress-Voting Fraud-Intimidation. That is everything I have at the moment.

Peg

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins

From: Juliet E. Thompson-Hodgkins
Sent: 07/10/2006 10:55 AM
To: Margaret Sims
Cc: Thomas Wilkey
Subject: Fraud and Intimidation Study

I received a call from John Tanner today who was upset with pages 5 and 6 of some draft paper that he had received regarding our Fraud and Intimidation Study. I am in a very uncomfortable situation in that I have not received a copy of this paper and the Office of General Counsel has not vetted this document and yet I am being questioned about why there are erroneous statements in this paper. Please provide me with a copy of this document and please explain to me how John Tanner got a copy of this document before I did.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:38 AM

To Devon Romig

cc

Subject Fw: methodology

Please edit the attached Word document to remove the returns at the end of each line that are not needed, then send it to Tova and Job. Thanks! --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 07/03/2006 11:37 AM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 05:25 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: FW: methodology

004245

The attached is the text extracted from pages 8-19 and the Attachment C referenced within the text. The formatting is still a little weird. Can you work with this, or do I need to play with it some more? --- Peggy



Risk Analysis Methodology-Brennan Center excerpt.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 12:07 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM
To: Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov
Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed.

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> As you may recall, the working group expressed
> interest in the risk analysis
> method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on
> voting machines employs
> this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the
> attached, it provides a
> potential model. I think it might be worth
> including this as an appendix or
> footnote in the methodology section. Please let me
> know what you think.

> Tova

>

> Tova Andrea Wang

> Democracy Fellow

> The Century Foundation

> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

>

> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>

> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,

> analysis, opinions, and events.

>

>

>

> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>

004246

> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/05/2006 02:49 PM

To "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

cc

Subject Contract Hours

Tova:

If you have used up all of your remaining hours, you need to stop work until we have the contract modification in place that provides for more hours.

Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:17 PM -----



wang@tcf.org

06/30/2006 09:45 PM

To wang@tcf.org, psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov"

cc

Subject Re: Various

Also, I maintain that a reasonable solution to this is to allow Donsanto and/or any of the commissioners who desire to do so to provide a statement that would be included in the report and in the record.

----- Original Message -----

From: <wang@tcf.org>

To: <psims@eac.gov>; "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Cc: "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 9:42 PM

Subject: Re: Various

> That would be great on the contract.

>

> If the interview is "edited" as you put it, I will be very, very
> uncomfortable, as I believe Job would be as well. I know you don't want
> to spend anymore time on this, but I consider it a rather important issue,
> and I think Job does too. I would be happy to talk to you and Tom and any
> of the commissioners about this further if that would be helpful. I am
> available by cell over the next four days and in the office all next week.

00424

>
> Thanks for the updated invoice stuff. Happy 4th.
>
> Tova
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <psims@eac.gov>
> To: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> Cc: "Tova Andrea Wang" <wang@tcf.org>
> Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 6:41 PM
> Subject: Re: Various
>
>
>> Actually, the Donsanto interview was the only one I did attend, but I
>> agree the issue is taking up too much of your time. I just wanted you to
>> be forewarned that the paragraph has already raised red flags in DC of and
>> is likely to result in an edit. Enough said about that.
>>
>> I am concerned about the number of hours left for this project. If you
>> and Tova both agree, I'll see if our Contracting Officer will approve a
>> contract mod to provide for some additional hours and money to
>> incorporate comments received on the report and other efforts that fall
>> within the tasks specified in the current contract. We won't get 60
>> thou, but there might be a little year end money we can use to finish
>> this off properly.
>> Peg
>>
>> -----
>> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
>>
>>
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
>> Sent: 06/30/2006 05:58 PM
>> To: psims@eac.gov; wang@tcf.org
>> Subject: Various
>>
>> Peg:
>>
>> I had to take time off this afternoon to handle some
>> issues. Did you get an answer as to my travel
>> reimbursement?
>>
>> I spoke to Tova about the Donsanto issue. We both
>> agree about what we heard during the interview. We
>> also agree that this is taking up too much time (of
>> which we have so little left) and is a minor part of
>> one interview which makes up one of thirty interviews.
>> I feel the same as Tova, the Commission was not in on
>> the interview and thus do not know what was said and
>> we are not giving those interviewed the opportunity,
>> especially given how long ago the interviews were, to
>> object. Frankly, if the Commission wants to give us
>> another sixty hours each we can call all of our
>> interviewees, give them the review and ask for
>> comments. In any case, we can't include comments from
>> other interviews with, or lectures by person
>> interviewed, outside of our interview with that
>> person. We simply can't afford to single out one
>> statement in one interview that there is a
>> disagreement on. Finally, I don't read the paragraph

>> as you do---I remember what was said---the paragraph
>> clearly does not imply an abandonment of other DOJ
>> electoral investigations.
>>
>> Job
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 12:40 PM

To Serebrov

cc

Subject Travel Reimbursement

GSA reports that a pay out of \$1,200.03 was made today. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

06/30/2006 05:25 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: FW: methodology [REDACTED]

The attached is the text extracted from pages 8-19 and the Attachment C referenced within the text. The formatting is still a little weird. Can you work with this, or do I need to play with it some more? --- Peggy



Risk Analysis Methodology-Brennan Center excerpt.doc

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 12:07 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM

004249

To: Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov
Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> As you may recall, the working group expressed
> interest in the risk analysis
> method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on
> voting machines employs
> this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the
> attached, it provides a
> potential model. I think it might be worth
> including this as an appendix or
> footnote in the methodology section. Please let me
> know what you think.
> Tova
>
> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>
> _____
>
> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>


----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:04 AM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: final report 

Once is enough. You don't need to resend. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

00425



<wang@tcf.org>
07/03/2006 09:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc [REDACTED]
Subject final report

Peg, We don't need to re-send you all of the material that we gave you to provide to the working group for the final report, eg the individual interviews, research summaries, nexis and case charts, right? Thanks.
Happy 4th. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]
07/03/2006 10:14 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
cc
Subject Hrs

Peg:

It seems to Tova and me that somewhere between 30 and 40 for each of us would be safe (having learned from not asking for enough hours).

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]
07/05/2006 07:19 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Re: Travel Reimbursement

No, its Bank of America. I just checked again and its

00425

not there. If it does not appear by morning I will need you to see what is going on.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> They usually send it electronically. Could your bank
> have failed to post it due to the holiday? Does your
> bank tend to float deposits for a day or two?

> Peggy

>

> -----

> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> Sent: 07/05/2006 08:13 AM

> To: psims@eac.gov

> Subject: Re: Travel Reimbursement

>

> Peg:

>

> I checked my account this morning (July 5th) and
> this

> still has not been paid. Did GSA mail it?

>

> Job

>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>

> > GSA reports that a pay out of \$1,200.03 was made

> > today. --- Peggy

>

>

>

>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:30 AM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

We'll have to guesstimate. It is likely that we will receive some comments and questions from the Commissioners and a number of comments from the boards. We could do the modification a little later, but we have to do it before the end of August to take advantage of year-end funds. Basically, the sooner we can figure this out, the better chance we have of using some of the year-end money for this project, before it is taken for something else. We have no guaranties that funds will be available in the next fiscal year. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

004252



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
07/03/2006 11:13 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject RE: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Doesn't it really depend on what the Commission comes back to us with? Its kind of hard to estimate before knowing what they're going to want.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:11 AM
To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]
Cc: twilkey@eac.gov
Subject: Estimated Additional Hours Needed

Tova and Job:

I don't have the authority to modify contracts, but Tom Wilkey does. In order to help Tom determine how many additional hours (and dollars) should be added to your personal services contracts, I'll need an estimate from the two of you for the number of additional hours required to complete the final report (taking into account revisions that may be needed to address questions and comments submitted by the Commissioners and the EAC Standards Board and Board of Advisors). Please note that we cannot add any tasks to the existing contract, but we can account for additional hours required to complete the final report.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]
07/09/2006 06:00 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org
cc

Subject Telephone Conference

Peg:

I need to move our call to next Monday at 7 pm EST.
What is the situation with the extra hours?

00425

Job

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM —

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:35 AM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: FW: methodology

I've asked Devon to do it. She can get it to you faster than I. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/03/2006 11:18 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc [REDACTED]

Subject RE: FW: methodology

The excess returns would be a great start, and then I can do the rest.
Thanks a lot.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:14 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: FW: methodology

Do you just need to have the excess returns removed, or do you think it
needs other clean up as well? --- Peggy

wang@tcf.org

07/01/2006 05:30
PM

psims@eac.gov

To

cc

Re: FW: methodology

Subject

00425

It would be great if someone there could work on cleaning it up. Let us know. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: psims@eac.gov

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 5:25 PM

Subject: Re: FW: methodology

The attached is the text extracted from pages 8-19 and the Attachment C referenced within the text. The formatting is still a little weird. Can you work with this, or do I need to play with it some more? --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

06/29/2006 12:07 PM

To
psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject
FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM

To: Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov

Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> As you may recall, the working group expressed
> interest in the risk analysis
> method. The recent report by the Brennan Center on
> voting machines employs

00425

> this methodology. If you look at pp. 8-19 of the
> attached, it provides a
> potential model. I think it might be worth
> including this as an appendix or
> footnote in the methodology section. Please let me
> know what you think.
> Tova
>
> Tova Andrea Wang
> Democracy Fellow
> The Century Foundation
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534
>
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>
> www.tcf.org, for the latest news,
> analysis, opinions, and events.
>
> _____
> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>
> Click here to receive our
> weekly e-mail updates.
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 10:29 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "'Job Serebrov'" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org

Subject RE: final report

appendices attached, except Peg I think you put together the list of the working group members? In any case, I can't find one at the moment, but it would be easy enough to put together. Perhaps even Devon or someone could do that, especially since I don't think I have any hours left, and probably shouldn't even be writing this email. I don't remember the conversation about adding to the list of interviewees, but we can talk about that later.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [<mailto:psims@eac.gov>]

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: 'Job Serebrov'; wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to

00425

have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To psims@eac.gov
CC "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org
Subject final report

Hi Peg,

Attached please find **drafts** of the sections for the final report. Job, **please** double check I'm not missing anything or sent the wrong version of anything. I'm very concerned I may have. Is there a summary of the case review that I should have? Also, as we discussed, the attached does not include all of the individual summaries and charts which we already gave you for the working group and which have not changed. Peg, we'll want to see the complete set of the materials you plan to give to the commissioners, et.al., before you do so. If you could both let me know if all the formatting is OK, that would be great too. Thanks so much and look forward to talking to you at 7 EST.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.



[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates. List of Experts Interviewed.doc



APPENDIX C -- BRENNAN EXCERPT.doc Existing Literature Reviewed.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/20/2006 02:46 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang
cc

00425

Subject Voucher

I received your faxed voucher today, signed it, and gave it to Finance. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/17/2006 12:25 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc "'Job Serebrov'" [REDACTED], wang@tcf.org

Subject RE: final report [REDACTED]

Yes, I have the list of Working Group members. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 10:29 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "'Job Serebrov'" [REDACTED], wang@tcf.org

Subject RE: final report

appendices attached, except Peg I think you put together the list of the working group members? In any case, I can't find one at the moment, but it would be easy enough to put together. Perhaps even Devon or someone could do that, especially since I don't think I have any hours left, and probably shouldn't even be writing this email. I don't remember the conversation about adding to the list of interviewees, but we can talk about that later.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: 'Job Serebrov'; wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

004258

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "'Job Serebrov'" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org
Subject final report

Hi Peg,

Attached please find **drafts** of the sections for the final report. Job, **please** double check I'm not missing anything or sent the wrong version of anything. I'm very concerned I may have. Is there a summary of the case review that I should have? Also, as we discussed, the attached does not include all of the individual summaries and charts which we already gave you for the working group and which have not changed. Peg, we'll want to see the complete set of the materials you plan to give to the commissioners, et.al., before you do so. If you could both let me know if all the formatting is OK, that would be great too. Thanks so much and look forward to talking to you at 7 EST.

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.



[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates. List of Experts Interviewed.doc




APPENDIX C -- BRENNAN EXCERPT.doc Existing Literature Reviewed.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:16 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

07/17/2006 01:41 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL
cc [REDACTED]
Subject RE: final report 

004256